

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,589	09/07/2006	Masayuki Ono	043887-0178	9166
53080 7590 68/20/2009 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			KALAM, ABUL	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,589 ONO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Abul Kalam 2814 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/13/05, 7/11/08.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/560,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

#### DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's election of Group I, of which claims 1-14 are drawn to, in the reply filed on April 10, 2009, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out any errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

### Drawings

2. Figures 23 and 24 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-5 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seo (US 2002/0053871).

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 1, Seo discloses a light-emitting device (Fig. 6, ¶ [0098]-[0103]) comprising:

a first electrode (602);

a second electrode (606) provided to be opposite to the first electrode (602); and a light-emitting layer (603) which contains a metal oxide semiconductor porous body (¶ [0098], by the surface of which an organic light-emitting material (605, Fig. 6) is supported, and is provided between the first electrode (602) and the second electrode (606).

Regarding claim 2, Seo discloses wherein the metal oxide semiconductor porous body is composed of a metal oxide semiconductor particulate powder (¶ [0069]-[0070]).

Regarding claim 3, Seo discloses wherein the metal oxide semiconductor particulate powder is made of an n-type semiconductor material (¶ [0099]).

Regarding claim 4, Seo discloses wherein the organic light-emitting material is chemisorbed (¶ [0063]) to the surface of the metal oxide semiconductor porous body.

Regarding claim 5, Seo discloses the device further comprising at least one organic layer (604, Fig. 6) provided between the first electrode and the second electrode (602 and 606) in addition to the light-emitting layer (603), the organic layer containing an adhesive organic material (¶ [0101]: "PEDOT") so as to function as an adhesive layer through which adjacent upper and lower layers thereof are bonded together.

Regarding claim 10, Seo discloses wherein the adhesive organic material (¶
[0101]: "PEDOT") in the organic layer (604) contains at least a polymer-based material.

Art Unit: 2814

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 103(c), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(c).

 Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seo ('871), as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, in view of Roitman et al. (US 2003/0057828, hereinafter, Roitman).

Regarding claim 6, Seo discloses all the limitations of the claim, as set forth above in claims 1 and 5, with the exception of disclosing a spacer dispersed in the organic layer, by which the thickness of the organic layer is defined. Hower, Roitman discloses an analogous organic light emitting device, wherein a spacer (310, Fig. 4) is dispersed in the organic layer (308), by which the thickness of the organic layer is defined (¶ (00231).

Regarding claim 7, Roitman discloses wherein the spacer (310, Fig. 4) is composed of transparent or semi-transparent particles (¶ [0023]).

Regarding claim 8, Roitman discloses wherein the spacer (310, Fig. 4) is made of an insulating material (¶ 100231).

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 9, Roitman does not disclose that the particle diameter of the spacer is in the range of 0.001 to 10 µm. However, However, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 234 (CCPA 1955). Furthermore, where patentability is said to based upon particular chosen range or dimension recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen range or dimension is critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Seo and Roitman, to form the organic layer with a spacer, for the disclosed intended purpose of improving the transparency of the organic layer (Roitman: ¶ [0023]).

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Seo ('871), as applied to claims 1 and 5 above.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Seo discloses (Fig. 6) wherein one of the first or second electrode (606) is an electron injection electrode (¶ [0101]) and one of the first or second electrode (602) is a hole injection electrode (¶ [0099]), and the organic layer is a hole injection layer (604, ¶ [0101]). Thus, in the embodiment of Figure 6, Seo does not discloses wherein the organic layer is a hole transport layer. However, in the embodiment of Fig. 3, Seo discloses wherein the organic layer (304b) is a hole transport layer (¶ [0074]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2814

at the time of the invention to form the organic layer as a hole transport layer, because such a modification is well known and conventional in the semiconductor art.

Regarding, the limitation of "wherein the hole transport layer functions as an adhesive layer through which adjacent upper and lower layers thereof are bonded together," note that such a limitation is drawn to a function. It has been held that an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (The absence of a disclosure in a prior art reference relating to function did not defeat the Board's finding of anticipation of claimed apparatus because the limitations at issue were found to be inherent in the prior art reference); see also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 210 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971); In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "Apparatus claims cover what a deivce is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Regarding claim 13, Seo does not disclose a hole injection layer provided between the hole injection electrode and the hole transport layer. However, Seo discloses a hole injection layer (604) formed on a hole injection electrode (602) in the embodiment of Fig. 6 and a hole transport layer (304b) is formed on a hole injection electrode (302b) in the embodiment of Fig. 3B. Thus, forming a hole injection layer between the hole injection electrode and a hole transport layer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, because such a

Art Unit: 2814

configuration of an organic light emitting device is well known and conventional in the semiconductor art.

Regarding claim 14, Seo discloses in the embodiment of Fig. 3B, an electron transport layer (306b) provided between the electron injection electrode (307b) and the light emitting layer (303b and 305b). Thus, forming an electron transport layer between the electron injection electrode and the light-emitting layer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, because such a configuration of an organic light emitting device is well known and conventional in the semiconductor art.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul Kalam whose telephone number is (571)272-8346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,589 Page 8

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. K./ Examiner, Art Unit 2814 /Wael M Fahmy/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2814